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Abstract—The new IEEE 802.11ac standard offers improvements like the use of large bandwidth and advanced Multiple-Input and Multiple-Output (MIMO) signal processing. However, the use of wide channels in a dense 802.11 network increases co-channel interference and contention. Fortunately, MIMO signal processing enables interference management where Access Points (AP) on the same channel can cancel their interference at each other’s Stations (STA), while beamforming their signal to their own STA. We focus on the Downlink (DL) of 802.11ac-based infrastructure networks with unequal network load at the APs and show that a combination of the two physical layer MIMO techniques, namely DL multi-user MIMO and interference nulling, can significantly improve the DL performance. The proposed algorithm performs in two steps. First, it identifies in each cell the spatial compatible STA groups which can be served by MU-MIMO in the DL. Second, the unused degree of freedom of lightly loaded APs is utilized to perform interference management by means of null steering towards STAs in highly loaded adjacent cells. The algorithm takes the overhead due to 802.11ac compliant channel sounding into account when computing the set of STAs to be nulled. Our simulation results from an indoor hotspot environment conclude that the proposed scheme outperforms state-of-the-art protocols especially in high density AP deployments. Moreover, the proposed method is fully compatible with commodity 802.11ac network cards that implement MU-MIMO and requires only slight modifications to the 802.11 MAC layer.

Index Terms—MIMO, Wireless, WiFi, Cross-Layer, SDN

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless traffic explodes as novel applications like multimedia streaming applications and cloud storage appear. Offloading network traffic to wireless small cells using technologies like IEEE 802.11 proves to be useful. The new WiFi amendment is 802.11ac which offers very high peak data rate by using MIMO transmissions (up to 8 antennas), higher order modulation (256-QAM), and larger bandwidth (up to 160 MHz). Increasing the channel width is attractive because it increases the peak data rate for individual Stations (STA). But, using wide channels in a dense 802.11 network can have the opposite effect by reducing the total number of channels available for reuse among co-located and adjacent Access Points (AP) and can reduce overall network capacity due to co-channel interference and contention.

The 802.11ac standard includes a variety of MIMO modes which can be divided into open- and closed-loop schemes. The latter is more efficient but requires a complex channel sounding procedure to obtain Channel State Information (CSI) at transmitter side. However, the wireless channel varies over time due to the mobility which causes a deterioration in performance of closed-loop beamforming schemes. Therefore, the CSI needs to be updated frequently. The overhead to keep CSI up-to-date can become severe especially in a Multi-User MIMO (MU-MIMO) scheme, where the channel needs to be sounded towards multiple STAs.

In practice, the number of active STAs served by an AP is not the same. There are locations where APs serve only a small number of STAs, i.e. floors, and hotspots where only a few APs have to serve a large number of STAs, i.e. conference rooms. The lightly loaded APs, i.e. those where the number of active STAs is smaller than the number of antennas at the AP, can use their unused degree of freedom to perform interference management. In particular such APs can perform interference nulling towards STAs located in adjacent hotspot cells resulting in reduced co-channel interference and improved SINR. Hence, to keep the channel sounding overhead meaningful low, the STAs to be nulled must be carefully selected.

Contributions: In this paper we show that the combination of two well-known physical layer MIMO techniques, i.e. MU-MIMO and nulling, is beneficial in the downlink (DL) of dense 802.11ac-based infrastructure WiFi networks with unequal network load. The proposed algorithm performs in two steps. First, the set of active STAs in each cell (AP) are grouped in spatially compatible groups which can be served by DL MU-MIMO. These STAs are periodically sounded by the AP they are associated with in order to keep instantaneous CSI up-to-date. Second, to achieve high spectral efficiency a frequency reuse one scheme together with interference management where the unused degree of freedom of lightly loaded cells/APs is utilized to perform null steering towards STAs in highly loaded adjacent cells. In order to keep the channel sounding overhead low, the proposed algorithm estimates the STAs to be nulled using just the average received power values. The proposed method is analyzed by means of simulations in an indoor hotspot environment.

II. MIMO SIGNAL PROCESSING

In the following we give a short introduction into MIMO processing techniques. In general, we can distinguish between open- and closed-loop MIMO transmissions. With the later the transmitter has channel state information and can therefore use precoding to change how its signal is received at a particular receiver node. With 802.11ac the precoding matrix can be
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III. CHANNEL SOUNDING IN IEEE 802.11AC

802.11ac defines a standard way to perform channel sounding
in order to obtain CSI at transmitter side to be able to
perform closed-loop MIMO schemes. To measure the channel
the transmitter, usually the AP, sends a sounding packet
including only preambles and receives a Compressed Beam
(CB) frame with modified CSI from the probed receiver(s),
usually STA(s). Fig. 2 shows the required frame exchange to
perform channel sounding in 802.11ac. Single user sounding
is performed by sending a NDPA and NDP frame which is
replied by the STA with CB frame. In multi-user sounding
additional users can be sounded. For each additional user a
BF-Poll is transmitted which is replied by a CB.

IV. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider the DL of a dense 802.11ac infrastructure
network consisting of multiple APs with overlapping cells.
Each AP is equipped with $M$ antennas (Uniform Linear Array,
ULA) whereas the STAs have just a single antenna. Next, the
number of active STAs served by each AP is not the same.
There are locations where APs serve only a small number
of STAs, i.e. floors, and hotspots where only a few APs
have to serve a huge number of STAs, i.e. conference room.
Further, we assume that the total available spectrum can be
used simultaneously in an efficient way (e.g. using channel
bonding as specified in 802.11ac). Finally, all the APs are
connected to a wired backbone, e.g. Ethernet, and hence can
be efficiently controlled by a centralized controller.

V. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Our main objective is to maximize the average DL rate in
each cell which indicates the overall effectiveness of an AP. As
we assumed a WiFi network with unequal network load our
objective can be achieved by optimizing the DL throughput
of highly loaded APs. In particular lightly loaded APs can
perform interference nulling towards STAs located in adjacent
hotspot cells/AP resulting in reduced co-channel interference
and hence increased rate in hotspot cells.

However, selecting the most suitable MIMO transmission
modes for each DL transmission in each cell is a complex
 task which depends on a multitude of aspects. Therefore, in
order to reduce complexity we propose the following heuristic
which leads us to a two-step approach:

1) Space-Division Multiple Access (SDMA) within a cell:
Serve the active STAs within each cell using SDMA
(DL MU-MIMO) which is very effective as the APs are
equipped with antenna arrays (ULA) whereas the STAs
have just a single antenna. In particular we have to create
spatially compatible SDMA groups, where each group
consists of a set of active STAs which can be separated
in the space domain.

2) Interference management: Utilize unused degree of
freedom of lightly loaded APs. This is achieved by null
steering towards STAs in highly loaded adjacent cells.
In particular we have to estimate for each AP the most
promising group of STAs to be nulled while taking the
additional channel sounding overhead into account.

In step one we aim to optimize the resource allocation
within a cell without considering any interference from neigh-
broring cells. The objective is to find an optimal grouping of the
set of active STAs into spatially compatible groups of STAs
which can be efficiently served using SDMA [2]. The grouping
of STAs can be accomplished by each AP independently and
requires no coordination between APs. As all active STAs
within a cell will be served via SDMA instantaneous CSI
is already available at the AP side and can be used by the
grouping algorithm to calculate the accurate bitrate for each
STA within a SDMA group.

In step two we aim to manage inter-cell interference. This
requires a coordination in the channel access between co-
located APs. As mentioned in [2] different SDMA groups need
to be orthogonalized in time or frequency domain. Without
loss of generality we assume time multiplexing whereas the
channel is divided into superframes, each containing $\theta$ time slots one for each SDMA group, where $\theta$ is determined by the AP serving the largest number of SDMA groups, i.e. $\theta = max\{|G^k|, \forall k \in AP\}$. Note, in cells with less than $\theta$ groups, the same group is assigned to more than one time slot. Consider the following example with five and two SDMA groups in each cell respectively: AP = $\{1, 2\}$, $G^1 = \{G^1_1, G^1_2, G^1_3, G^1_4, G^1_5\}$, $G^2 = \{G^2_1, G^2_2\}$. Here is $\theta = 5$ and the assignment of SDMA groups to time slots is as follows:

- AP 1: $G^1 = \{G^1_1, G^1_2, G^1_3, G^1_4, G^1_5\}$
- AP 2: $G^2 = \{G^2_1, G^2_2\}$

The actual interference management includes the computation of the optimal set of STAs to be nulled by any AP in each time slot. This step cannot be performed by each AP independently; instead a global view on the network is required. Interference nulling requires instantaneous CSI at the transmitter side. However, in contrast to SDMA nulling offers just a SINR gain and no spatial multiplexing gain. Therefore, the set of STAs to be nulled must be even more carefully selected to avoid the situation where the channel sounding overhead exceeds the gain from nulling. Note, the channel sounding from two different APs towards the same STA has to be serialized in time which further increases the overhead. This also means that any algorithm for computing the nulling groups cannot assume the availability of instantaneous CSI towards STAs in adjacent cells, i.e. the selection must be done using long-term channel statistics.

Next we give a formal description of our problem:

**Instance:** Given a set of APs $k \in AP$ and the assignment of their SDMA groups to time slots, i.e. $G^k = \{G^k_1, G^k_2, \ldots, G^k_\theta\}$, i.e. $1 \ldots \theta$ is the time slot index.

**Objective:** Find set of nulling groups $N^k = \{N^k_1, N^k_2, \ldots, N^k_\theta\}$ for each AP $k$ and time slot $i = 1 \ldots \theta$, $\mathcal{N} = \{N^k | k \in AP\}$, such that the mean DL rate over the APs is maximized. Here $N^k_i \subseteq \{u | u \in U^h, \forall h \in AP, h \neq k\}$, i.e. the set of potential candidates which can be nulled by AP $k$ in time slot $i$.

This optimization problem can be formulated as follows:

$$\mathcal{N} = \arg \max_{\mathcal{N}} \{\text{mean}\{R^k_{u,j} | \forall u, \forall j\} | \forall k \in AP\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

subject to the maximum size of a null group which is limited to $|N^k_i| \leq M - |G^k_i|$, $\forall N^k \in \mathcal{N}^k$, i.e. the number of STAs being beamformed or nulled is restricted by the available number of antennas $M$ at the AP. Moreover, $R^k_{u,j}$ is the DL rate achieved by STA $u$ in group $i$ time slot $j$ served by AP $k$ and is calculated as follows:

$$R^k_{u,j} = (1 - \Delta^k) \times \frac{1}{|G^k_i|} \times \text{rate}(\gamma^k_{u,j})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\gamma^k_{u,j}$ is the instantaneous SINR of STA $u$ in group $i$ time slot $j$ after precoding by serving AP $k$ and possibly nulling by adjacent APs, i.e. $u \in N^k_i$, $k' \in AP$, which allows us to select the proper MCS and hence to calculate the bitrate at the physical layer, rate(.). Moreover, we account for the overhead due to channel sounding by the term $(1 - \Delta^k)$ representing the available free airtime for data communication:

$$\Delta^k = \delta_{\text{UpdateRate}} \times \left( \sum_i \text{chan\_sound}(G^k_i, N^k_i) + \sum_{k' \neq k, h \neq k} \sum_i \text{chan\_sound}(G^k_{i', h}, N^k_{i'}) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\delta_{\text{UpdateRate}}$ is the required number of channel soundings per second which depends on the timescale of channel variations. Here we see that if a STA need to be sounded by more than one AP the channel sounding process has to be serialized in time which further increases the overhead due to sounding.

**VI. PROPOSED APPROACH**

Here we give a description of the proposed practical solution to the optimization problem formulated in the previous section.

**A. SDMA within a Cell**

The performance of SDMA depends heavily on the used grouping algorithm. The optimal solution was shown to be NP-complete in [3]. Therefore, from the practical point of view we recommend to use a heuristic like the Best Fit Algorithm or even the lower complexity First Fit Algorithm [3]. The channel towards each STAs in each group is sounded and the obtained instantaneous CSI is used to calculate the beamforming weights for each group using e.g. the well-known Zero-forcing technique [4].

**B. Interference Management**

Nulling groups must be carefully selected since the STAs to be nulled are in adjacent cells and therefore in general have a low SNR resulting in a large channel sounding overhead (r.t. Sec. III). Our proposed algorithm tackles this challenge as follows. It takes the already available DL instantaneous CSI from each APs towards the STAs in the SDMA groups (previous section) together with the average CSI from each AP towards STAs located in adjacent cells to estimate the nulling groups. Note, that the average CSI can be efficiently obtained by overhearing UL packet transmissions from neighboring cells. Hence, according to our approach the instantaneous CSI only towards the STAs to be nulled need to be estimated.
The detailed description of the algorithm is given in Listing 1. The objective is to find a nulling configuration for each AP such that the average cell rate in the busiest network cell is maximized. Specifically, the algorithm starts with an empty nulling configuration for each AP and searches for the best nulling to be added to the global nulling configuration, i.e., \( k \rightarrow u(h, i) \) which indicates a nulling by AP \( k \) towards STA \( u \) served by AP \( h \) on time slot \( i \), such that the minimum average cell rate in the network can be improved due to nulling of the additional STA. The algorithm stops if no improvement can be made due to additional nulling. Function \( \text{avg\_rate}(\cdot) \), which calculates the expected average DL cell rate is given in Listing 2. This function takes the additional channel sounding overhead due to nulling, the number of DL slots as well as intra- and inter-cell interference into account when calculating the average DL cell rate for a given cell/AP. Note, that due to the absence of instantaneous CSI from a STA towards adjacent APs we use the average receive power to assess inter-cell interference (see line 18).

Algorithm 1 Algorithm estimates the nulling configuration for each AP, i.e. which STA will be nulled by which AP in which time slot.

Require:
1: \( \text{CSI}_{k \rightarrow u}, \forall k \in \text{AP}, G^{h}_{k} \in G^{h}, u \in G^{h}_{k} \) - the instantaneous CSI to each STA in each SDMA group (ref. step 1).
2: \( I^{h}_{k \rightarrow u}, \forall k \in \text{AP}, u \in U^{h}_{k} \) - the average receive power from each AP towards any STA in communication range.

Ensure:
3: \( \mathcal{N} \) - the nulling configuration for each AP.
4: procedure \( \text{nullGroupEst}(\cdot) \)
5: \( \mathcal{N}^{h}_{k} \leftarrow \emptyset, \forall k \in \text{AP}, i = 1 \ldots \theta \) - nulling gr. per AP \( k \) + time slot \( i \).
6: while true do
7: \( k^{*}, i^{*}, u^{*} \leftarrow \emptyset \) - best: nulling AP, time slot, STA being nulled
8: \( \delta^{*} \leftarrow 0 \) - current average cell rate in busiest cell
9: for \( h \in \text{AP} \) do
10: \( \delta_{h} \leftarrow \min \{\delta^{*}, \text{avg\_rate}(\mathcal{N}, h)\} \)
11: end for
12: for \( h \in \text{AP} \) do
13: \( \forall k \in \text{AP} \), for each SDMA group of AP \( h \)
14: \( \mathcal{N}^{h}_{k} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}^{h}_{k} \cup \{u^{*}\} \) - add nulling STA \( u \)
15: \( \delta \leftarrow \delta \) - recalc min avg rate
16: for \( h' \in \text{AP} \) do
17: \( \delta^{*} \leftarrow \text{nullGroupEst}(\delta^{*}, \mathcal{N}_{h}, h') \)
18: end for
19: if \( \delta^{*} > \delta \) then
20: \( \delta^{*} \leftarrow \delta \) - save best solution
21: end if
22: end for
23: end procedure

Algorithm 2 Algorithm calculates the average DL cell rate.

Require:
1: \( \mathcal{N} \) - the nulling configuration for each AP.
2: \( G^{h} \) - the SDMA grouping in this cell/AP.
3: \( h \) - The cell or AP ID.

Ensure:
4: \( \bar{R} \) - the expected average cell rate in DL for AP \( h \).
5: procedure \( \text{avg\_rate}(\cdot) \)
6: \( \Delta^{h} \leftarrow \Delta_{\text{UpdateRate}} \times \left( \sum_{\text{ch\_sound}(G^{h}, N^{h})} + \sum_{\text{ch\_sound}(G^{h} \setminus N^{h})} \right) \) - Channel nulling to be added to the global nulling configuration, i.e., \( k \rightarrow u(h, i) \) which indicates a nulling by AP \( k \) towards STA \( u \) served by AP \( h \) on time slot \( i \), such that the minimum average cell rate in the network can be improved due to nulling of the additional STA. The algorithm stops if no improvement can be made due to additional nulling. Function \( \text{avg\_rate}(\cdot) \), which calculates the expected average DL cell rate is given in Listing 2. This function takes the additional channel sounding overhead due to nulling, the number of DL slots as well as intra- and inter-cell interference into account when calculating the average DL cell rate for a given cell/AP. Note, that due to the absence of instantaneous CSI from a STA towards adjacent APs we use the average receive power to assess inter-cell interference (see line 18).

Complexity: The proposed algorithm has a complexity of \( O(|\text{AP}|^{4}|\text{STA}|^{3}) \), i.e. quartic with the number of APs and cubic with the number of STAs.

C. Medium Access Control

The proposed method requires a special medium access control different from the random access scheme in 802.11 (CSMA/CA). In particular, we have to coordinate (synchronize) the channel access in the DL of adjacent APs to make sure that the null steering by an adjacent AP is time aligned with the corresponding transmission in the serving AP. Such coordination is achieved by dividing the medium into DL time slots (TDMA) where a central controller schedules in each time slot an SDMA group together with potential interference nulling. The proposed MAC framing is depicted in Fig. 3. Here the medium access is divided into superframes. Each superframe consists of a DL and UL subframe. The DL subframe is further divided into DL slots. A particular terminal/nulling group is scheduled in each DL slot. The required number of DL slots equals the largest number of SDMA groups over all APs (ref. step 1). Fig. 3 illustrates an example with two APs. Here AP1 is highly loaded and serves just its own STAs using SDMA whereas AP2 can utilize the unused degree of freedom to perform interference nulling towards STAs of AP1.

D. Architecture

In Fig. 4 a flow chart illustrating the data and control flow in the proposed architecture is presented. Each AP independently
estimates the set of active STAs within his own cell, i.e. associated STAs having active flows. Those STAs are periodically sounded to obtain up-to-date instantaneous CSI. For the interference management only the average CSI, i.e. average received power, towards STAs in adjacent cells is required which is obtained efficiently using passive overhearing. Note, this is possible since all APs in the envisioned method use the whole available spectrum and operate on the same channel, i.e. frequency reuse 1. The CSI values are sent to a central controller which performs the tasks of STA grouping (SDMA) and interference management (nulling). This scheduling information is sent back to the corresponding APs. An additional step is required. Since the instantaneous CSI towards the STAs to be nulled is not known, those STAs need to be sounded. Having up-to-date CSI towards STAs to be served/nulled each AP is able to calculate the correct MIMO precoding weights and transmit the data frames.

VII. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. Methodology

The methods under study are analyzed by means of system-level simulations using Matlab according to the methodology recommended by the IEEE 802.16m group [5].

1) Placement & Channel Model: Fig. 5 shows the placement of APs and STAs. It is an indoor scenario with a hotspot cell in the middle, i.e. a full congress or conference room, with 20 active STAs. The adjacent APs are only lightly loaded, i.e. each AP is serving just a single STA. Different distances, \( d = 13 - 54 \) m, between APs were considered. The placement of STAs within each room was uniform random. The minimum distance of STA towards the closest AP was 5 m. As path loss model we selected the IEEE 802.16m indoor small office (A1) scenario, adapted to 5 GHz ¹, which describes a random mix of LOS and NLOS scenarios. Furthermore, we calculated 12 dB loss for wall penetration. For NLOS and LOS different Shadowing \( \sigma \) were taken: 3.1 dB and 3.5 dB respectively. The small scale fading was chosen to be independent identically distributed (i.i.d) complex gaussian variables with zero mean and unit variance. In our simulations we explicitly calculated the SNIR taking into account the channel \( H \) and the precoding \( W \) from each cell. Moreover, we assumed that all active STAs had full packet buffers. The remaining most important parameters used in our simulations are summarized in Table I.

![Fig. 4: Data and control flow in the proposed architecture.](image)

![Fig. 5: Indoor scenario with a highly loaded AP in the middle surround by lightly loaded APs (view from top).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System bandwidth</td>
<td>100 MHz &amp; 5 × 20 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY</td>
<td>IEEE 802.11ac (5.32 GHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>TDMA, CSMA/CA 802.11ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power</td>
<td>10 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA noise figure</td>
<td>6 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction</td>
<td>Downlink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathloss model</td>
<td>802.16m indoor small office (A1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU-MIMO precoding / grouping</td>
<td>Zero-forcing / Best-fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier sensing threshold</td>
<td>SINR=3 dB (BPSK 1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of antennas at AP</td>
<td>4, 8, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of placement seeds</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹http://www.ieee802.org/16/gmn/contrib/C80216m-07_086.pdf

TABLE I: Simulation parameters.
4) Methods under Study: Our proposed approach is compared with the following state-of-the-art solutions:

- **Baseline (5x20 MHz):** standard 802.11ac with dedicated 20 MHz channel in each cell/AP,
- **Baseline (CSMA):** standard 802.11ac where each cell/AP uses the total available bandwidth of 100 MHz (frequency reuse 1) and CSMA activated,
- **Indep:** same as Baseline (CSMA) but with CSMA deactivated,
- **Proposed:** the proposed scheme using the total available bandwidth of 100 MHz and adaptive nulling.

B. Results

In this section we present a thorough evaluation of the performance of our proposed approach that is organized as follows. First, we analyze the average DL rate in the hotspot cell. Second, we take a closer look at the overhead due to explicit channel sounding procedure. Third, the impact of the number of antennas is analyzed. Finally, we show the impact on adjacent cells, i.e., the price to be paid by the STAs in adjacent cells.

1) Mean Bitrate: The results for the different approaches under study are given in Fig. 6. Here, the number of antennas at each AP is \( N_t = 8 \) and a channel sounding update interval of \( \delta = 10 \) Hz, i.e., static environment or very low mobility, was selected. The following observations can be made. First, the proposed scheme always outperforms all others. The gain is especially high for very small inter-BS distances, e.g., up to \( 5 \times \) cmp. to baseline and \( 1.5 \times \) cmp. to a scheme without any coordination between neighboring APs (Indep). Even at a larger inter-BS distance of \( d = 20 \) m the proposed scheme outperforms Indep by around 16%.

2) Impact of Channel Sounding: Next, we analyze the impact of the channel sounding overhead. From Fig. 7 we can see that the channel sounding interval greatly impacts the performance of our proposed solution which can be explained by the additional sounding of STAs to be nulled. The main result is that as long as channel sounding interval \( \delta \) is smaller than 25 Hz the proposed collaborative scheme outperforms all other schemes. Hence in a more mobile environment the Indep scheme offers higher performance. For a high channel sounding update rate, \( \delta \), the airtime occupied by channel sounding can reach more than 40%. For larger inter-BS distances even the baseline 802.11ac approach offers comparable results.

3) Impact of Number of Antennas: Here we investigate the impact of the number of antennas \( M \) at the APs. This is important to analyze because the channel sounding overhead increases with \( M \) (ref. Sec. III). In Fig. 8 we present results for \( M = 4, 8, 12 \) and \( \delta = 10 \) Hz. Here we can see that the proposed scheme scales with \( M \).

4) Impact on adjacent cells: In the considered hotspot scenario the interference management is performed by the
lightly loaded APs towards the hotspot cell. Hence, it is important to analyze whether there is performance degradation in adjacent cells. Fig. 9 shows the average rate in the adjacent cells for the configuration of \( N_1 = 8 \) and \( \delta = 10 \) Hz. We see that only a little price is to be paid, cmp. Proposed with Indep.

**VIII. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORK**

We showed that the DL of 802.11ac-based WiFi networks with unequal network load can be improved significantly when performing a combination of two physical layer MIMO techniques, namely MU-MIMO and interference nulling. The former mitigates interference within a cell whereas the latter is used to reduce intercell-interference. The proposed algorithm is performing in two steps, namely grouping of spatial compatible STAs which are served by DL MU-MIMO and interference management where the unused degree of freedom of lightly loaded APs is used to perform null steering towards STAs in highly loaded adjacent cells. The proposed adaptive algorithm takes the overhead due to channel sounding into account when calculating the set of STAs to be nulled. The proposed method can be implemented using an architecture like OpenRF [6], it is fully compatible with commodity 802.11ac network cards that implement MU-MIMO and only slight modifications to the 802.11 MAC protocol are required.

For the future work we plan to work on a joint solution where the two disjoint steps, spatial grouping and null steering, are integrated. Moreover, we intend to take transmit power control into account.

**IX. RELATED WORK**

Extensive theoretical research in the field of MU-MIMO [7] and intercell interference nulling [8] were made. Regarding practical protocols the following publications are relevant. Zhang et al. [9] considered the DL MU-MIMO scheduling problem. Kumar et al. [6] showed that by managing MIMO signal processing, i.e. adaptively applying technique like transmit beamforming, interference nulling and alignment, the performance of 802.11 networks can be significantly improved. The difference with our work is that they considered single user transmit beamforming instead of MU-MIMO. In our work we assumed that the system bottleneck is the number of antennas at the STA whereas Gollakota et al. [10] and Xiong et al. [11] proposed protocols for improving the capacity of WiFi networks in situations with insufficient number of antennas at the APs. Further, we consider the DL whereas the UL direction is considered by Tan et al. [12].

In context of LTE-Advanced Liu et al. find out that the cell edge client spectral efficiency may be reduced if MU-MIMO is used exclusively [13]. Hence, they proposed a dynamic switching between SU-MIMO and MU-MIMO to balance the cell edge client spectral efficiency and average cell user spectral efficiency.
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