

Review report

Review object (topic): Topic05.ppt
Version: Mar 16, 2003
Reviewer: Zoran Budimac
Date: May 20, 2003

1. General remarks and general impression concerning the state of the review object

- Changes since the previous version not documented.
- Too many information in remarks and methodology? Should we transfer some of it to slides?

2. Technical errors and misspellings in the slides

-

3. Physical errors in the slides

-

4. Slides with a bad style and suggestions for improvements

- Slide 7: First sentence should appear immediately with slide
- Slide 7: 'no unified' ? 'No unified'. Similarly with remaining two paragraphs
- Slides 8 and 9: should be animated.
- Slide 20: 's' appears before its time :-)
- Slide 30: 'Seminar' in 'Seminar custodian' is of different colour
- Slide 39: underlines are not properly positioned.

5. Additional suggestions for improvements and extensions

- A slide similar to slide 17 is needed.
- Slide 6 can graphically show what documents we shall cover in this topic.
- Slide 36: shall we put the implicit question ('do you recognize...') explicitly on the slide?
- Should we have sections 'e) Reviewing process' and f) 'Changes in requirements'?

6. Lecture notes for particular slides

- Slide 5: Give answer explicitly under an **Answer** section of lecture notes.
- Slide 6: update remark and tell in which topic the user documentation will be covered.
- Slide 35: In 'Contents' we refer to 'product efficiency' while slide mentions 'performance'