

Review report

Review object topic02.ppt
Version: Apr16, 2003
Reviewer: Kalpic
Date: Oct 28, 2003

1. General remarks and general impression concerning the state of the review object
Good material.

2. Contents errors and misspellings in the slides
Page 12: intterrupt -> interrupt

3. Physical errors in the slides
Page 11: "mpatibility" appears prematurely

4. Slides with a bad style and suggestions for improvements
Page 12: "errors quick to find" -> ... quick to locate ?

5. Additional suggestions for improvements and and extentions

6. Lecture notes for particular slides:

p 2: explain -> explains

P 4: They will be introduced by its name -> ...by their names

P 4: at the next slides -> on the next slides

P 8: ...defined/explained itself. -> defined/explained.

P 12: ...and maintenance has sometimes totally ->and maintenance have sometimes totally

P 13: at -> on

--- meta question: -----

7. Suggestions to improve the review report form

--- optional parts (later - not for Ohrid): -----

8. Deviations from the style guides

(e.g. slide 3: question to students not in a cloud)

9. Experience report from a lecture:

- conveniences and inconveniences
- involvement of students (by questions)

10. Experience with the translation into the native language