

Review report

-----  
Review object (topic):     topic12.ppt  
Version:                    Aug.01,2003  
Reviewer:                  B. Jakimovski, A. Misev, V. Ajanovski  
Date:                        Aug.21,2003  
-----

1. General remarks and general impression concerning the state of the review object  
    examples:  
    - too few of them and not complex enough to grasp the concepts completely  
    Modelling topics, (this is a general remark that applies to most of the books on and only rare examples have overcome it)  
  
    lecture notes:  
    - explanations of slides are not balanced in size and detail, some slide features are explained in more detail than necessary, some are not explained at all.  
    - if lecture notes are made for the teacher than they should only stress most important points in the slide, points that must not to be forgotten during the lecture; the lecturer should try to dig the most important information from the mix of explanations for stuff he already knows.  
    - on the other hand, if they are made for students, the lecture notes should have detailed explanations of every aspect on the slide and could be better formulated
2. Contents errors and misspellings in the slides  
    slide 8,9: Spelling error in the titles (Szenario => Scenario)  
    slide 16: should use the same example as in slide 13
3. Physical errors in the slides  
    slide 8: error in the animation (third bullet)
4. Slides with a bad style and suggestions for improvements
5. Additional suggestions for improvements and and extentions  
    slide 13: Can be animated
6. Lecture notes for particular slides:  
    slide 13: LN should be more explanatory concerning the meaning of the lifetime of objects  
    slide 16: Grammar errors

--- meta question: -----

7. Suggestions to improve the review report form  
    --- optional parts (later - not for Ohrid): -----
8. Deviations from the style guides  
    (e.g. slide 3: question to students not in a cloud)
9. Experience report from a lecture:  
    - conveniences and inconveniences  
    - involvement of students (by questions)
10. Experience with the translation into the native language