Review report

Review object topic01.ppt
Version: Apr16, 2003
Reviewer: Kalpic
Date: Oct 28, 2003
----

1. General remarks and general impression concerning the
   state of the review object

Good material.

2. Contents errors and misspellings in the slides

Page 26: 140 billions of dollars-> 140 billion dollars (not in plural if the
exact number is given? I am not sure!)
Page 18, last line: the loss... -> The loss...
Page 20, last line: delete "10%"
Page 30: SE -> What it is not-> SE (in the last line; "SE is not SE!")

3. Physical errors in the slides

Check   Page 15

4. Slides with a bad style and suggestions for improvements

Page 33: Why is the abscissa reversed, i.e. declining number of function points?
Page 39: Confusing two negations in the last line "We do not offer: ... no
customer..."

5. Additional suggestions for improvements and and extentions

6. Lecture notes for particular slides:

P 4: "should be ask" -> ...asked
P 7: "concered" -> concerned
P 19: Is 5 c the right answer? I remember that "about 70% of IS prrojects
eventually fail"
P 23: Here I like to mention Karl Marx "How Changes in Quantity Lead to Changes
in Quality".
I interpret it as "it is a completely different job to program a few hudred lines
of
few million". Whether Marx thought about that, I am not sure!
p 29: "discipined" -> disciplined

--- meta question: ---------------------------

7. Suggestions to improve the review report form

--- optional parts (later - not for Ohrid): ------------------

8. Deviations from the style guides

   (e.g. slide 3: question to students not in a cloud)

9. Experience report from a lecture:

   - conveniences and inconveniences
   - involvement of students (by questions)

10. Experience with the translation into the native language