Experience with the Course in Novi Sad

Zoran Budimac

History

- One semester course 'Designing software'
- 20 students of business informatics, old curriculum
- Decision: present shorter version of the SE course
- All students moved to the new curriculum, where SE is in 7th semester (two-semester course)
- However, announcements drove significant attention among students and in the industry (mainly friends and colleagues of friends)
- Decision to give lectures

Outcome

Saturdays, Nov., Dec. 4 – 5 hours:

- 1 student regularly
- Several more students occasionally
- 7 people from industry
 - With different experiences

Motivation:

- Professionals were better motivated
 - And they also acted as 'critics'
- Fun for students

Topics presented

- Part I − Introduction (t. 1 − 4)
- Part II Requirements engineering (t. 5 − 6)
- Project Management (Part V advanced...)
- Quality of software process... (Part V)
- Part II Rest, except "Formal methods"

Topics, not presented

- Part III (Design)
- Part IV (Implementation and Testing)
- Part V (Advanced topic, except
 - Project management
 - Quality of Software process...

Assignment 1

- Analysis of Requirement document
 - Of 5 inaccuracies, 3 discovered by 'us', 3 by students in Germany (1 in intersection)
 - Of 10 errors, 5 discovered by 'us', 5 by students in Germany (0 in intersection)
 - Of 14 'missing information', 12 discovered by 'us', 3 by students in Germany (1 in intersection)
 - Of 4 'complaints on documents structure', 3 discovered by 'us',
 1 by students in Germany (0 in intersection)
- Remarks:
 - 'our' results fresh and more detailed vs. general(ized) results from German students (collected by Kay)
 - Not everything of those errors are real errors, but comments.

Assignment 2 (!)

- Cost estimation
 - Presented: Preliminary specifications v 2.3
 - Assignment: Preliminary specifications v 3.0
 - Results very similar, but still not checked (use it in improvements of Topic 6)

Assignment 3

- Check and finish Structured Analysis for Seminar Organization
 - Not finished yet ☺

Assignments ... (!)

- Given to 'old' students wanting to move to the new curriculum
 - Transform requirements specification of SemOrg to the one conforming to the IEEE standard
 - Results: of 5 solved assignments, only one good enough.
 - More 'moving' students expected ideas for new 'useful' assignments??? (e.g. translation, 3rd case study,...)

NS experiences - conclusions

- Industry people more motivated (needed to solve their own problems ©)
- More interested in:
 - Project management
 - Software process models
 - 'logical sequence' of the course, i.e. the one that follow activities in practice
- Better understood requirements spec. after first examples in 'notational topics' (language problem)
- Where confused about requirements document, they wanted to convert requirements immediately to product model
- Complained about the usage of use-case before it was formally introduced in the course ©

NS experiences - conclusions II

- Complained about topics on OO notation: 'coarse', without details, 'sudden and fast', ...
- Noted that SA notations and OO notations are practically the same (or at least very similar). ["yeah, yeah, yesterday everything around us was an entity, and nowadays everything is an object"]
- Found SA 'more natural'
- Asked many questions about the order of slides (all resolved later after consultations with Klaus)
- Asked for 'recipes': when should we stop analyzing and start designing, how do we know what we are doing, how 'big' should be iterations (turns),...
- Discovered many errors and inconsistencies
- Proposed many additions (now part of 'todo' lists for many topics)
- Valuable feedback

My own experience

- Some lectures without lecture notes (©)
 - With additional literature and references
- For many complaints, I agreed with the audience
- Many thanks to Klaus and Kay for their constant support, and 'last minute' preparations of topics and/or lecture notes (hot line)

