Does the Jones Polynomial Detect Unknottedness?

Oliver T. Dasbach *

Mathematisches Institut, Abt. M.+D., Universitätsstr. 1, D - 40225 Düsseldorf

e-mail: *kasten@math.uni-duesseldorf.de*

Stefan Hougardy †

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Informatik, D - 10099 Berlin

e-mail: *hougardy@informatik.hu-berlin.de*

Revised version: June, 1996

Abstract

There were many attempts to settle the question whether there exist non-trivial knots with trivial Jones polynomial. In this paper we show that such a knot must have crossing number at least 18. Furthermore we give the number of prime alternating knots and an upper bound for the number of prime knots up to 17 crossings. We also compute the number of different Homfly, Jones and Alexander polynomials for knots up to 15 crossings.

1 Introduction

In 1984 the Jones polynomial stepped into the world [Jon85]. Although this link invariant became an important tool for the proof of various theorems it is no magic potion for knot tabulators. There are many examples of inequivalent knots and links that have the same Jones polynomial. Even the extended versions of the Jones polynomial (eg. Homfly polynomial [FYH+85], Kauffman polynomial [Kau87a]) are only slightly better in distinguishing inequivalent knots and links.

Surprisingly it is still unknown however, whether there are nontrivial knots with trivial Jones or related polynomials. For special classes of knots (eg. alternating knots, [Mur87]) it is known that no such example can occur (see also [LT88], [Bir85]).

*supported in part by G.I.F.

†supported in part by Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes



Figure 1: Skein relations

When we started our project we thought that a systematic enumeration (by crossing numbers) of non-alternating knots would lead to an example.

Now we can state:

Theorem: *Let K be a knot with trivial Jones or Homfly polynomial. Then K is the unknot or it has crossing number at least 18.*

Section 2 summarizes the definition and some properties of the Jones and related polynomials (see for example [Jon87], [Lic88] or [Kau87b]).

An algorithm to enumerate all knots of a given crossing number is briefly described in section 3. This algorithm was used in [Thi85] to tabulate all prime knots up to 13 crossings. In section 4 and 6 we summarize our computational results. We did not try to classify knots with crossing number 14, 15, 16 or 17 but we can give lower and upper bounds for their numbers. The observation in section 5 leads to a simple algorithm to decide whether a knot diagram with at most 17 crossings is a projection of the unknot.

Furthermore we can give the exact number of all (unoriented) prime alternating knots up to 17 crossings.

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the basic concepts of knot theory. For a good account see [BZ85].

2 The Jones polynomial

It is an open question, whether all link classes are distinguishable by invariants like polynomials. One attempt was made by Jones in 1984. We choose a combinatorial way to define the Jones and one related polynomial, the HOMFLY polynomial. For an algebraic approach see [Jon87].

Let L_+, L_- and L_0 be (oriented) links with identical diagrams except near a crossing where they look like Figure 1.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the class of all oriented links up to equivalence. We have:

Proposition 2.1 *There is a function (often called HOMFLY polynomial)*

$$P : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}, z^{\pm 1}]$$

uniquely and well-defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} P(unknot) &= 1 \\ v^{-1}\,P(L_+) - vP(L_-) - z\,P(L_0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using this polynomial, we may define the original Jones polynomial and the classical Alexander polynomial as a specialization:

Definition 2.2 *The Jones polynomial $V(L)$ is defined by:*

$$V(L)(t) := P(L)(t, (t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$$

and the equation is given by

$$t^{-1}V(L_+) - t\,V(L_-) + (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}})\,V(L_0) = 0.$$

The Alexander polynomial $\Delta(L)$ is defined by:

$$\Delta(L)(t) := P(L)(1,\ (t^{-}\frac{1}{2} - t^{\frac{1}{2}})).$$

We need the following property:

Let $L_1 + L_2$ be any connected sum, $L_1 \cup L_2$ the disjoint union of the oriented links L_1 and L_2, ρL the link obtained by reversing the orientation of all components of L and $\bar{L}$ the mirror image of L. $\bar{P}$ denotes the HOMFLY polynomial P with v and v^{-1} interchanged.

Then:

Proposition 2.3

(i) $P(L_1 + L_2) = P(L_1)\,P(L_2)$

(ii) $P(L_1 \cup L_2) = (v^{-1} + v)\,z^{-1}P(L_1)\,P(L_2)$

(iii) $P(\rho L) = P(L)$

(iv) $\overline{P(L)} = P(\bar{L})$

3 Enumeration of knots

A simple (but not simple to compute!) invariant of links is given by the *crossing number*, i. e. the minimum number of crossings of all diagrams of a link.



standard sequence:

4 10 12 14 2 8 6

Figure 2: Standard sequence of a knot

Now it is possible to enumerate all knots with at most a prescribed crossing number in the following way: Let D be a regular knot projection of the knot K with n crossings. After choosing a starting point and a direction on K we may label all points of K which project to the n crossings by $1, \ldots, 2n$. So we get an involution τ (i.e. $\tau^2 = 1$) on the set $1, \ldots, 2n$ by $\tau(i) := j$ if i and j are labeling the same crossing.

This involution is completely determined by the values on odd numbers (so $\tau(i)$ is even) and we get a sequence of n even numbers, which depends on the knot projection, the starting point and the direction. Now we indicate at each element of the sequence by a sign whether the corresponding crossing is an over- or an undercrossing. If we order all sequences of a given length (for example lexicographically), we may find to each knot projection D a unique *standard sequence* $s(D)$, which is minimal and independent of the starting point and the direction. (Notice, that for a given sequence it is possible to find the standard sequence without any imagination of the knot or the knot diagram.)

Dowker and Thistlethwaite [DT83] have shown, that this sequence determines the knot diagram up to homeomorphism of the extended plane. On the other hand it is possible to find algorithmically all sequences arising from knot projections (see [DT83]) and not from diagrams which are connected sums of two knot diagrams. These sequences are called *admissible*.

Thus there exists an algorithm which produces all admissible standard sequences of a given length and therefore an enumeration of all prime knots.

4 Does the Jones polynomial detect unknottedness?

It is well known that the Alexander polynomial cannot decide whether a knot is really knotted or not. But for the Homfly polynomial or even for the Jones polynomial no example of a nontrivial knot with trivial polynomial is known. Anstee, Przytycki and Rolfsen [APR89] have unsuccessfully tried to construct such an example by applying on diagrams of the unknot transformations which do not change the Jones polynomial, but possibly the equivalence class of the knot.

We thought that an extensive computer search would lead to an example. Using the methods described in section 3 we enumerated all admissible standard sequences of knot diagrams up to 17 crossings. We did not try to compile a list of all (prime) knots on 14, 15, 16 or 17 crossing in which every equivalence class is represented by just one knot. For knots on 12 and 13 crossings this work was done by Thistlethwaite [Thi85]. For knots up to eleven crossings see for example Conway [Con70].

For a given standard sequence we systematically applied all possible combinations of simple equivalence transformations called 2-passes and flypes (see Figures 3 and 4) that includes Reidemeister move of type II and III. If this procedure did not lead to a standard sequence that already occurred we computed the Jones polynomial by using the recursion 2.2. (For the computational complexity of the Jones polynomial see [JVW90].)

Proposition 2.3 and the Jones polynomial definition ensure that we only have to regard Jones polynomials of knots with an admissible diagram to get:

Theorem: *Let K be a knot with trivial Jones or Homfly polynomial. Then K is the unknot or it has crossing number at least 18.*

The flyping conjecture, which was proved by Menasco and Thistlethwaite ([MT93]), gives a method to classify all alternating knots. So as a by-product to our computations we are able to give the exact number of all (unoriented) prime alternating knots up to 17 crossings.

A word is in order on possible faults in the source code of our program. The Jones polynomial is an invariant of the equivalence class of a knot and by applying the transformations outlined above a knot stays in its class. So we can use the computation of the Jones polynomial for a verification of the transformations and vice versa.

After we did the computations for all knots up to 16 crossings a paper of another group was published ([AAC+94]) in which they enumerate all (unoriented) prime alternating knots up to 14 crossings. They obtained the same numbers as we did. This gives further evidence for the correctness of our program.

To show the complexity of the problem: It took about a week on a modern workstation to compute the results for 16 crossings.

5 Projections of the unknot

Given a knot diagram it is natural to ask how to decide in an easy way whether it is a projection of the unknot. In [Och90] Ochiai has shown that for every n there is a diagram of the unknot with no n-waves. An *n-wave* is given if in the diagram there is an overpass (underpass) τ_1 with more than n crossings that may be replaced by another overpass (underpass) τ_2 connecting the two ends of τ_1 and having n crossings without changing the knottype. In this sense there are “nontrivial projections of the trivial knot” ([Och90]).



Figure 3: Flype



Figure 4: 2-passes

As outlined above we have chosen another approach to find out whether a knot is knotted or not. By our computational results we have

Observation 5.1 *Let D be a diagram of the unknot having at most* 17 *crossings. Then D may be transformed into the canonical diagram of the unknot by some flypes and 2-passes (including Reidemeister moves of type II and III) and Reidemeister moves of type I without increasing the number of crossings.*

6 Estimating the number of knots

Let $k(n)$ (resp. $l(n)$) be the number of prime (unoriented) knot (resp. link) classes with crossing number n (cheiral pairs counted as one).

In [ES87] Ernst and Sumners have shown that $k(n)$ grows exponentially with n. They give a lower bound:

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} k(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 2.68.$$

Welsh [Wel91] obtained an upper and lower bound for the growth of $l(n)$:

$$4 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} l(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} l(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{27}{2}.$$

For "low crossing" knots and links the lower estimates are far from being correct.

To shed more light on the number of knots we give the following data:

For $n \leq 13$ we take $k(n)$ computed by Thistlethwaite [Thi85].

For $n = 14, 15, 16$ and 17 we give an unambitious upper bound which we got for free while "proving" our theorem.

Furthermore we give the exact number $a(n)$ of (unoriented) prime alternating knots up to 17 crossings (a knot and its mirror image are counted only once).

Now let $\#P(n)$ (resp. $\#V(n)$ or $\#\Delta(n)$) be the number of different (up to cheiral pairing) Homfly (resp. Jones or Alexander) polynomials which occur for knots counted in our table with crossing number n and do not occur for knots with crossing number less than n.

This establishes an interesting test for the efficiency of the polynomial invariants. A similar table for alternating knots may be found in [DH93].

n	$\#P(n)$	$\#V(n)$	$\#\Delta(n)$	$k(n)$	$k(n) \leq$	$a(n)$
3	1	1	1	1		1
4	1	1	1	1		1
5	2	2	2	2		2
6	3	3	3	3		3
7	7	7	7	7		7
8	21	21	21	21		18
9	49	49	44	49		41
10	160	151	132	165		123
11	509	452	339	552		367
12	1907	1596	1222	2176		1288
13	7935	6180	3866	9988		4878
14	35395	25074	14557		50345	19536
15	178866	114409	56708		279556	85263

The numbers of alternating knots on 16 and 17 crossings are $a(16) = 379799$ and $a(17) = 1769979$. Furthermore $k(16) \leq 1608280$ and $k(17) \leq 9821800$.

References

[AAC+94] B. Arnold, M. Au, C. Candy, K. Erdener, J. Fan, R. Flynn, R. J. Muir, D. Wu, and J. Hoste. *Tabulating Alternating Knots through 14 Crossings.* J. Knot Theory and its Ram., 3(4):433–437, 1994.

[APR89] R. P. Anstee, J. H. Przytycki, and D. Rolfsen. *Knot Polynomials and Generalized Mutation.* Topology Appl., 32:237–249, 1989.

[Bir85] J. S. Birman. *On the Jones Polynomial of Closed 3-braids.* Inv. Math., 81:287–294, 1985.

[BZ85] G. Burde and H. Zieschang. *Knots.* de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1985.

[Con70] J. H. Conway. *An Enumeration of Knots and Links.* In J. Leech, editor, *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra,* pages 329–358. Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, 1970.

[DH93] O. T. Dasbach and S. Hougardy. *Graph Invariants and Alternating Knot Projections.* Report 93814 - OR, Forschungsinstitut für Diskrete Mathematik, Bonn, 1993. ISSN 0724 - 3138.

[DT83] C. H. Dowker and M. B. Thistlethwaite. *Classification of Knot Projections.* Topology Appl., 16:19–31, 1983.

[ES87] C. Ernst and D. W. Sumners. *The Growth of the Number of Prime Knots.* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 102:303–315, 1987.

[FYH+85] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu. *A New Polynomial Invariant for Knots and Links.* Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 12(2):239–246, 1985.

[Jon85] V. F. R. Jones. *A Polynomial Invariant for Knots via Von Neumann Algebras.* Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 12(1):103–111, 1985.

[Jon87] V. F. R. Jones. *Hecke Algebra Representations of Braid Groups and Link Polynomials.* Ann. Math., 126:335–388, 1987.

[JVW90] F. Jaeger, D. L. Vertigan, and D. J. A. Welsh. *On the Computational Complexity of the Jones and Tutte Polynomials.* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 108:35–53, 1990.

[Kau87a] L. H. Kauffman. *On Knots.* Princeton University Press, 1987. Ann. of Math. Studies 115.

[Kau87b] L. H. Kauffman. *State Models and the Jones Polynomial.* Topology, 26:395–407, 1987.

[Lic88] W. B. R. Lickorish. *Polynomials for Links.* Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 20:558–588, 1988.

[LT88] W. B. R. Lickorish and M. B. Thistlethwaite. *Some Links with Non-trivial Polynomials and their Crossing-Numbers.* Comm. Math. Helv., 63:527–539, 1988.

[MT93] W. W. Menasco and M. B. Thistlethwaite. *The Classification of Alternating Links.* Ann. Math., 138:113–171, 1993.

[Mur87] K. Murasugi. *Jones Polynomials and Classical Conjectures in Knot Theory.* Topology, 26(2):187–194, 1987.

[Och90] M. Ochiai. *Nontrivial Projections of the Trivial Knot.* S.M.F. Astérisque, 192:7–10, 1990.

[Thi85] M. B. Thistlethwaite. *Knot Tabulations and Related Topics.* In Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, number 93, pages 1–76. Cambridge University Press, 1985.

[WEL91] D.J.A. WELSH. *On the Number of Knots and Links.* IN *Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 60. Sets, Graphs and Numbers, Budapest*, PAGES 713–718. 1991.